

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TI

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

VENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
09/207,282	12/08/98	CONBOY			M	11729.184	IUS0
$\vdash$			-	٦		EXAMINER	
		PM82/0	228	·			
MERCHANT GOULD SMITH EDELL					C BUTLER	<del>₹.M.,</del>	
WELTER & SCHMIDT			`		ART UNIT	PAPER I	NUMBER
3100 NORWES							-
90 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET					3651		_
MINNEAPOLIS MN 55402-4131					DATE MAILE	D:	7
						02/28/01	ı /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 





# Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/207,282

Examiner

Applicant(s)

•

Michael E. Butler

Group Art Unit 3651

Conboy et al.

Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jan 8, 2001</u>						
🖄 This action is <b>FINAL</b> .						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expirelonger, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be 37 CFR 1.136(a).	ne period for response will cause the					
Disposition of Claim (						
	is/are pending in the applicat					
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration					
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.					
X Claim(s) <u>1-20</u>	is/are rejected.					
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.					
Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement.						
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.  The drawing(s) filed on						
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited, PTO-892  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).  Interview Summary, PTO-413  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948  Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152						
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING	G PAGES					

Art Unit: 3651

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office Action, and apply to this and any subsequent Office Actions.

#### **Drawings**

2. New drawings are required upon allowance because the drawings were declared informal by the applicant.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-18, as in paper number 5, along with newly added claims 19-20, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Conboy et al. '566.

  Conboy et al. '566 discloses:

(re: cl 1) An automated material handling system, comprising:

a plurality of material carriers including a plurality of empty carvers classified into two or more carrier types;

one or more stock areas each including a plurality of bins for storing material carriers, wherein each stock area is associated with one or more thresholds for each carrier type;

a control system coupled to a first one of the stock areas for computing an empty percentage for the first stock area for each carrier type, the empty percentage for a

Art Unit: 3651

particular carrier type being the percentage of bins of the first one stock area which contain empty carriers of the particular type; and

a transportation system responsive to the control system for selectively moving an empty carrier of a certain carrier type between a staging area and the first stock area based on a comparison of the empty percentage for the certain carrier type to the one or more thresholds of the first stock area for the certain carrier type (c 12 L 50-67); (re: cl 2) the material carriers include semiconductor wafer carriers (c 13 L 1-3); (re: cl 3) the one or more thresholds for the empty carrier of the certain carrier type include a maximum value and wherein the transportation system moves an empty carrier of the certain carrier type from the first stock area to the staging area if the maximum value is exceeded by the empty percentage of the certain carrier type (c 13 L9-14); (re: cl 4) The automated material handling system as recited in claim 1, wherein the one or more thresholds for the empty carrier of the certain carrier type include a minimum value and wherein the transportation system moves an empty carrier of the certain carrier type to the first stock area from the staging area if the empty percentage of the certain carrier type to the first stock area from the staging area if the empty percentage of the certain carrier type falls below the minimum value (c 13 L 14-19);

(re: cl 5) the staging area includes a second one of the stock areas (c 13 L 20-23);

(re: cl 6) the control system calculates a system move rate as the number of the plurality of material carriers moved by said transportation system in a predetermined time period and the transportation system moves the empty carrier of the certain carrier type between the staging area and the first stock area only if the system move rate is less than a predetermined value (c 13 L 35-39);

Art Unit: 3651

(re: cl 7) each empty carrier type is associated with a priority and wherein the transportation system moves the empty carrier of the certain carrier type between the first stock areas and the staging area based on the priority of the certain carrier type and the priorities of other carrier types (c 13 L 40-44);

(re: cl 8) the control system calculates an empty move rate for the certain carrier type as the number of empty carriers of the certain carrier type moved by the transportation system in a predetermined time period and the transportation system moves the empty carrier of the certain carrier type between the staging area and the first stock area only if the empty move rate for the certain carrier type is less than a predetermined value (c 13 L 29-31);

(re: cl 10) A method for managing empty material carriers in an automated material handling system including a plurality of material carriers including empty material carriers and one or more stock areas each including bins for storing material carriers, the method comprising: classifying at least the empty material carriers into two or more carrier types; (c6 L 56-67; c 12 L 67);

associating each of the stock areas with one or more thresholds for each carrier type (c9 L 3-11);

computing an empty percentage for each empty carrier type for a first one of the stock areas, the empty percentage for a particular empty carrier type being the percentage of bins of the first one stock area which contain empty carriers of the particular type (c 8 L 40-52); and

selectively moving an empty carrier of a certain carrier type between a staging area and the first stock area based on a comparison of the empty percentage for the certain carrier Art Unit: 3651

type for the first stock area to the one or more thresholds of the first stock area for the certain carrier type (c 14 L 39-42);

(re: cl 11) the material carriers include semiconductor wafer carriers (c 14 L 43-44); (re: cl 12) the one or more thresholds for the empty carrier of the certain carrier type include a maximum value and wherein selectively moving the empty carrier of the certain carrier type from the first stock area to the staging area includes moving the empty carrier of the certain carrier type if the maximum value is exceeded by the empty percentage of the certain carrier type (c 13 L9-14);

(re: cl 13) the one or more thresholds for the empty carrier of the certain carrier type include a minimum value and wherein selectively moving the empty carrier of the certain carrier type to the first stock area from the staging area includes moving the empty carrier of the certain carrier type if the empty percentage of the certain carrier type falls below the minimum value (c 13 L 14-19);

(re: cl 14) the staging area includes a second one of the stock (c 13 L 20-23); (re: cl 15) calculating a system move rate as the number of the plurality of material carriers moved in the system in a predetermined time period, wherein selectively moving the empty carrier of the certain carrier type between the staging area and the first stock area includes moving the empty carrier of the certain carrier type only if the system move rate is less than a predetermined value (c 13 L 35-39);

(re: cl 16) associating each empty carrier type with a priority, wherein selectively moving the empty carrier of the certain carrier type between the first stock areas and the staging area includes moving the empty carrier of the certain carrier type based on the priority of the certain carrier type and the priorities of other carrier types (c 13 L 40-44);

Art Unit: 3651

(re: cl 17) calculating an empty move rate for the certain carrier type as the number of empty carriers of the certain carrier type moved in the system in a predetermined time period, wherein selectively moving the empty carrier of the certain carrier type between the staging area and the first stock area including moving the empty carrier of the certain carrier type only if the empty move rate for the certain carrier type is less than a predetermined value (c 13 L 29-31).

Conboy et al. '566 does not disclose: the empty carriers are classified into a plurality of carrier types; that the empty move rates are global. The examiner takes official notice that the use of global parameters are well known. It would have been obvious for Conboy et al. '566 to use global empty move rates because global parameters are easy to transmit between diverse control modules facilitating modular control programs. It would have been obvious for Conboy et al. '566 to classify the carriers into a plurality of types because knowing the attributes and features of the carriers assists in determining which carriers to move.

Applicant challenges the examiner's assertion that the use of global parameters is well known in the programming arts for parameter passing. In rebuttal, the examiner points to Burney (c46 L 38-54) and Tau et al. (c28 L 12-c29 L 64; c 35 L 1-8; c 9 L 45-64) as evidencing that global parameter passing is well known in software control for passing parameters such as variables between routines.

5. Claims 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Conboy et al. '566 in view of Burney. Conboy et al. '566 discloses the elements previously disclosed, but does not disclose: the empty carriers are classified into a plurality of carrier types; that the empty move rates are global. Burney discloses: the

Art Unit: 3651

empty carriers classified into a plurality of carrier types (c33 L 8-28;c12 L 18-26; c2 L7-24; c 21 L 1-18); the use of global carrier parameters (c46 L 38-54). It would have been obvious for Conboy et al. '566 to make the empty move rate a global parameter because: global parameters ease the transmitting of parameters between diverse control routines facilitating modular control programs and routines as taught by Burney. It would have been obvious for Conboy et al. '566 to use a plurality of carrier types because classifying the carriers into a plurality of types aids in knowing the attributes and features of the carriers thereby assisting in determining which carriers to move as taught by Burney.

6. Claims 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Conboy et al. '566 in view of Tau et al.. Conboy et al. '566 discloses the elements previously disclosed, but does not disclose: the empty carriers are classified into a plurality of carrier types; that the empty move rates are global. Tau et al. discloses: the empty carriers classified into a plurality of carrier types (c34 L 1-19); the use of global carrier parameters . (c28 L 12-c29 L 64; c 35 L 1-8; c 9 L 45-64). It would have been obvious for Conboy et al. '566 to make the empty move rate a global parameter because: global parameters ease the transmitting of parameters between diverse control routines facilitating modular control programs and routines as taught by Tau et al..

It would have been obvious for Conboy et al. '566 to use a plurality of carrier types because classifying the carriers into a plurality of types aids in knowing the attributes and features of the carriers thereby assisting in determining which carriers to move as taught by Tau et al..

Page: 8

Serial Number: 09/207282

Art Unit: 3651

## **Double Patenting**

7. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

8. Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9,19, & 20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 10, 11, 8, 8,1 & 8 respectively of U. S. Patent No. 5,838,566 to Conboy et al., as in paper number 5, so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and the claims, if allowed, would improperly subject applicants to harassment from multiple assignees. It would have been obvious to extend the teachings of Conboy et al. '566 to a system having a plurality of stocking areas because a carrier system with a

Art Unit: 3651

plurality of stocking areas presents an opportunity to move parts between several locations comprising plural stages of processing, creating more flexibility than a system having merely one stocking area. The use of global control parameters are well known and it would have been obvious It would have been obvious for Conboy et al. '566 to modify claim 8 to use global empty move rates because global parameters are easy to transmit between diverse control modules facilitating modular control programs. It would have been obvious for Conboy et al. '566 to use a plurality of carrier types because classifying the carriers into a plurality of types aids in knowing the attributes and features of the carriers thereby assisting in determining which carriers to move.

#### Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Serial Number: 09/207282

Art Unit: 3651

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The examiner in particular calls to the attention to the applicant the patent to Iwasaki et al. filed eight months prior to the instant but two years subsequent to the Conboy et al. 566 reference. Iwasaki et al. discloses: An automated material handling system, comprising:

a plurality of material carriers including a plurality of empty carvers classified into two or more carrier types; one or more stock areas each including a plurality of bins for storing material carriers a control system coupled to a first one of the stock areas for computing an empty percentage for the first stock area for each carrier type, the empty percentage for a particular carrier type being the percentage of bins of the first one stock area which contain empty carriers of the particular type; and a transportation system responsive to the control system for selectively moving an empty carrier of a certain carrier type between a staging area and the first stock area based on a comparison of the empty percentage for the certain carrier type to the one or more thresholds of the first stock area for the certain carrier type.

11. As the applicant was unable to overcome the prior art with a seasonably filed 1.131 affidavit (MPEP 715.09), the examiner points out that applicant may be able to overcome the prior art by taking advantage of the AIPA amendments to 103(c), if the instant invention was commonly assigned or under an obligation to be commonly assigned at the time of invention, via the use of a post 11/29/99 filed application under rule 1.53(b) or 1.53(d).

è

Page: 10

Art Unit: 3651

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Exmr. Michael E. Butler whose telephone number is (703) 308-8344.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Ellis, can be reached on (703) 308-2560. The fax number for the Group is (703) 305-7687.

Michael E. Butler

Michael E. Butter

Examiner

JOSEPH E. VALENZA PRIMARY EXAMINER